

PATENT RESPONSE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/719,207  
 Filing Date: November 21, 2003  
 Inventor: Brian Lange  
 Title: Vibrating Livestock Prod with Pneumatic Actuation  
 Examiner: Yvonne Renee Abbott  
 Art Unit: 3644  
 Attorney Docket: PPCI-32176 (13270.0088)  
 Confirmation No.: 1965  
 Customer No.: 022202

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
JAN 20 2005

**CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a) and 1.10**

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

**Mailing**

- deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
**37 CFR 1.8(a)**  As "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No.  
 with sufficient postage as first class mail.

**37 CFR 1.10**

- transmitted by facsimile to Fax No. (703) 872-9306 addressed to Examiner Yvonne Renee Abbott at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Date: 1-20-05

Mail Stop Amendment  
 Commissioner for Patents  
 P.O. Box 1450  
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Dear Sir:

Introductory Comments begin on:	page 2 of 14
Amended Claims begin on:	page 3 of 14
Remarks begin on:	page 12 of 14
Conclusion begins on:	page 13 of 14
Extension of Time begins on:	page 14 of 14

USSN 10/719,207

PATENT RESPONSE

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

After careful review, Applicant hereby responds to a December 23, 2004 Restriction Requirement regarding the above-referenced patent application. In view of this Response, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

Applicant has not added new matter with this Response and intends the scope of the invention and claims to be the same before and after this Response. Indeed, Applicant only offers this Response to clarify the invention for the Examiner and to assist the Examiner's understanding of the same. More specifically, Applicant has not intended this Response to effectuate a narrowing of the claims, foreclose techniques that are not reasonably foreseeable at this time, or effect the applicability and scope of the Doctrine of Equivalents.